28

1	
2	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	SAN JOSE DIVISION
6	REGINALD BURGESS, et. al., CASE NO. 5:14-cv-00302 EJD
7 8	ORDER SCHEDULING CASE Plaintiff(s), MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES
9	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE, US, et. al.,
10	
11	Defendant(s).
12	Having reviewed this action, the court finds the initial case management order originally
13	issued is unsuited to the relief sought in the complaint. Accordingly, the case management order
14	filed January 21, 2014 (Docket Item No. 7), is VACATED. In its place, the clerk shall forthwith
15	issue an "Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines," which is used in
16	non-ADA civil cases.
17	Additionally, in order to avoid further confusion over service and scheduling in this action,
18	the Summonses issued by the clerk on February 28, 2014 (Docket Item No. 44), are STRICKEN
19	since it appears they are now unnecessary.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	$-\alpha\alpha\alpha$
22	Dated: March 4, 2014  EDWARD J. DAVILA
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	In general, the "Scheduling Order for Cases Asserting Denial of Right of Access Under

In general, the "Scheduling Order for Cases Asserting Denial of Right of Access Under Americans with Disabilities Act Title II & III" is used in cases asserting only that - a denial of access to physical premises. The complaint filed in this action asserts more than just that type of claim.